

Docket No.: 219834US2S

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/076,644

Applicants: Nozomu HARADA Filing Date: February 19, 2002

For: IMAGING APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING IMAGE
IN A RESOLUTION HIGHER THAN IS POSSIBLE
WITH A RESOLUTION PROVIDED NUMBERS OF
PHYSICAL PIXELS, AND DISPLAY APPARATUS
FOR DISPLAYING IMAGE IN A RESOLUTION

SAME

Group Art Unit: 2615 Examiner: Ye, Lin

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBŁON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, 🏿 .C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters

Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM

OBLON

Spivak

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ECKHARD H. KUESTERS (703) 413-3000 EKUESTERS@OBLON.COM



IN RE APPLICATION OF

NOZOMU HARADA : EXAMINER: YE, LIN

SERIAL NO: 10/076,644

FILED: FEBRUARY 19, 2002 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2615

FOR: IMAGING APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING IMAGE IN A RESOLUTION HIGHER THAN IS POSSIBLE WITH A...

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the election requirement dated August 1, 2005, Applicant provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-6 drawn to an image apparatus comprising an imaging device having a plurality of light-sensitive portions, classified in class 348, subclass 219.1, for further examination on the merits. Applicant reserves the right to file one or more divisional applications directed to the non-elected invention.

Furthermore, while the Election Requirement asserts that the application contains claims to patentably distinct inventions, MPEP § 803 states the following:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the outstanding Official Action identifies different search classifications, it is believed that the claims of the present application would have to be searched in a handful of sub-classes. Furthermore, since electronic searching is commonly performed, a search may

Application No. 10/076,644 Reply to Office Action of August 1, 2005

be made of a large number of, or theoretically all, subclasses without substantial additional effort. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner, whereas it would be a serious burden on Applicant to prosecute and maintain separate applications.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-17 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MATER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/03)

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

I:\ATTY\EHK\Amend-Responses\0039\21s\219834US-PE.doc